| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|--|--|--|
|  | 10/823,241   | ELKINGTON ET AL.   |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|  | Hyun Nam   | 2184   |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:   |  |
| (1) <u>Hyun Nam</u> .  | (3)  |  |
| (2) <u>Nathan E. Stacy</u> .   | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: 20 April 2010   | Time:  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | ant's representative)  |  |
| Part I.  |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Claims discussed: 19   |  |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:   |  |  |
| Part II.   |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Resolved possible 101 issue.  | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS   | DISCUSSED:   |
| Part III.  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writte<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| (A   | Applicant/Applicant's Representat                                  | ive Signature – if appropriate)                            |